



Figure 1: The Munsell Circle of Hues

Φ and Color

The discovery of musical consonances is generally, in the west at least, attributed to Pythagoras who observed that the division of a stretched string, according to simple integer ratios, gave rise to the musical intervals of an octave, perfect fourth, and perfect fifth. Though this notion of consonance is supported by what we know about the physical nature of sound (over-tones etc.) it is not self evident that what the mind perceives as consonant be directly related to a physical description of the medium in question and there is no reason to assume that consonance, when it comes to color, will be describable by direct analogy to a physical description of light.

Complimentary colors

Unlike sound, the perception of color can not be simply defined in terms of wavelengths. In an experiment first performed by Edward Land¹, he demon-

¹a dual camera was loaded with black and white film and a long and short wavelength record was made by using a long wavelength filter on one lens and a short wavelength filter on the other. The transparencies were then superimposed on a screen using two projectors, also outfitted with filters, so that the long wavelength record was illuminated with a slightly longer wavelength of yellow light then the short wavelength record. The result, the projected image was seen in approximately all its' original colors, though the

strated that the world of color exists not only within the bounds of the visual spectrum as discovered by Newton, but within any part of it. The same cannot be said of music. While one could certainly construct a microtonal scale, such that the relative spacing of its' notes was the same as that for a scale which spanned an octave, to do so would alter the relation that the notes of such a scale have with the tonic and thus affect their consonance.

In what is primarily an extension of Edward Lands' work, McCann, McKee, and Taylor performed a experiments, using the Munsell System (see Figure 1), which clearly showed the relation between compliments in terms of equal perceptual intervals. To eliminate the chance of a preconceived notion of color being associated with a known object an abstract patchwork of colors called a Color Mondrian was used. In each experiment the illumination on the Color Mondrian was adjusted so that the triplet of radiances that came from the grey area in the grey experiment came from the blue area in the blue experiment, the red area in the red experiment etc. Their results (Table 1), like Land's, show that color cannot solely be described on the basis of a physical description of light. For example, in the red experiment the perception of red remains essentially the same for different triplets of radiances (2.5R in the grey experiment, 5.0R in the red experiment). An interesting aspect of these experiments, not commented on in their paper, is the changing perception of the grey area where the compliments seem to appear. The relation between a color and its' compliment is represented, in Table 2 by the degrees of separation between them on the Munsell Circle of hues and follows directly from Table 1. In the red experiment, for example, the red area appears as 5.0R and the grey area is perceived as 10.0G and the degree of separation between them is 198° ². The first 13 intersections that result when $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \Phi \theta$ are graphed together and when $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \Phi^{-1} \theta$ are graphed together are given in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. A quick inspection of both tables indicates that the results comes close to those in Table 2 when the adjusted values for the 3rd and 4th intersections in each table are considered.

light reflected from the screen was only yellow and a color photograph of it failed to show any color.

²counter-clockwise separations are also given in the last column, eg. $360^\circ - 198^\circ = 162^\circ$

The enumeration of the integers is at the heart of musical consonance and is based upon the addition of things of like kind, whereas an enumeration based on Φ can be thought of as involving things which differ with respect to each other but which are related with respect to some whole. Of the two, the latter is closer, in spirit at least, to the observation that the world of color can exist within any portion of the visual spectrum. The fact that Φ can be used to describe the relationship between compliments suggests that it might make a good candidate upon which to base a language that encompasses both music and color.

| area in mondrian | <i>experiment</i> | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | grey | red | blue | green | yellow |
| N 1.25/ | N 1.5/ | N 1.75/ | N 1.75/ | N 1.75/ | N 1.75/ |
| N 5.75/ | 10.0YR 6/1 | 7.5G 6/2 (207) | 5.0YR 6/1 (207) | 5.0RP 5/2 (162) | 10.0PB 5/4 (153) |
| N 6.75/ ^a | 5.0YR 6/1 | 10.0G 7/1 (198) | 5.0YR 6/1 (207) | 7.5RP 6/2 (153) | 10.0PB 6/4 (162) |
| N 9.6/ | 10.0R 9/2 | 5.0G 9/1 (216) | 2.5YR 9/2 (216) | 7.5RP 8/4 (153) | 2.5P 8/4 (162) |
| 10.0RP 6/10 (red area) | 2.5R 6/10 | 5.0R 6/6 | | | |
| 2.5PB 6/8 (blue area) | 2.5PB 6/8 | | 2.5PB 6/4 | | |
| 2.5G 7/6 (green area) | 10.0GY 7/6 | | | 10.0GY 7/4 | |
| 5.0Y 8.5/10 (yellow area) | 5.0Y 8.5/12 | | | | 5.0Y 8/8 |

^athis is the grey area used in the grey experiment

Table 1: summary of results as reported by McCann,McKee,and Taylor.

| experiment (area examined) | <i>perceived color</i> | | perceived compliment | degrees of seperation clockwise/counter |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | in grey experiment | in current experiment | | |
| red | 2.5R | 5.0R | 10.0G | 198/162 |
| blue | 2.5PB | 2.5PB | 5.0YR | 207/153 |
| green | 10.0GY | 10.0GY | 7.5RP | 153/207 |
| yellow | 5.0Y | 5.0Y | 10.0PB | 162/198 |

Table 2: relation of compliments

| intersect number | degrees of intersection | adjusted degrees of intersection | difference ³ |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 2 | 68.75388 | 68.75388 | 68.75388 |
| 3 | 206.26164 | 206.26164 | |
| 4 | 343.76941 | 343.76941 | |
| 5 | 481.27717 | 121.27717 | |
| 6 | 582.49223 | 222.49223 | 101.21506 |
| 7 | 618.78493 | 258.78493 | 36.2927 |
| 8 | 756.29270 | 36.2927 | |
| 9 | 893.80046 | 173.80046 | |
| 10 | 1031.30823 | 311.30823 | |
| 11 | 1164.98447 | 84.98447 | 133.67624 |
| 12 | 1168.81599 | 88.81599 | 3.83152 |
| 13 | 1306.32375 | 226.32375 | |

Table 3: intersects from $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \Phi\theta$

| intersect number | degrees of intersection | adjusted degrees of intersection | difference ⁴ |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 2 | 111.24611 | 111.24611 | 111.24611 |
| 3 | 333.73835 | 333.73835 | |
| 4 | 556.23058 | 196.23058 | |
| 5 | 778.72282 | 58.72282 | |
| 6 | 942.49223 | 222.49224 | 163.76941 |
| 7 | 1001.21506 | 281.21506 | 58.72283 |
| 8 | 1223.70729 | 143.70729 | |
| 9 | 1446.19953 | 6.19953 | |
| 10 | 1668.69177 | 228.69177 | |
| 11 | 1884.98447 | 84.98447 | 216.2927 |
| 12 | 1891.18400 | 91.18400 | 6.19953 |
| 13 | 2113.67624 | 313.67624 | |

Table 4: intersects of $\sin \theta$ and $\sin \Phi^{-1}\theta$